Neutron Decay as Octonion Algebra

Beta Decay Without the W Boson

Martin Scholl

It Is All One — Paper 5, Version 3

April 2026

Abstract

We represent each quark as an octonion whose eight components encode mass, charge, spin, angular momentum, confinement strength, and colour. A hadron is the octonion sum of its three quarks; its mass equals the confinement scale Λ multiplied by the sum of the scalar and confinement components. Because octonion multiplication is non-associative, the two triple products (AB)C and A(BC) yield imaginary parts that point in different directions. The angle between them — the closure angle — measures how close the three quarks come to forming a perfect tetrahedron. The proton undershoots the tetrahedral angle by 1.1° and is stable; the neutron overshoots by 6.5° and is not. From the closure angle, the sectional curvature of the seven-sphere, and the order of the tetrahedral symmetry group, we derive a spring constant, a neutron–proton mass difference of 1.305 MeV (observed: 1.293 MeV, 0.9% error), and a geometric escape toll of 1.218 MeV (observed: 1.217 MeV, 0.15% error). The funnel geometry of the seven-sphere provides absolute confinement: the tunnelling action exceeds 1000, giving a transmission probability indistinguishable from zero. No W boson, no Feynman diagrams, no free parameters beyond the six quark presets and one scale.

1. Introduction

The Standard Model describes neutron decay as a two-step process: a down quark emits a W boson and becomes an up quark, then the W decays into an electron and an antineutrino. The W boson has never been observed inside a nucleus; it is virtual, borrowed from the vacuum for a time shorter than 10^{-25} seconds. Its mass, 80 GeV, is eighty times the mass of the neutron itself. The formalism works, but one may ask whether the detour through 80 GeV is necessary.

In the previous papers of this series we showed that Hamilton's quaternions [1] and Cayley's octonions [2, 3] reproduce the hydrogen spectrum, the Pauli exclusion principle, and the quark colour structure without borrowing any field-theoretic machinery [14]. Here we extend the programme to neutron decay. The result is startling: every measurable quantity — the mass difference, the escape energy, the proton radius, and the permanence of confinement — follows from octonion algebra and the geometry of the seven-sphere. No W boson is needed. The algebra is the physics.

2. A Quark Is an Octonion

An octonion has eight components. We assign each component a physical role, following the programme initiated by Günaydin and Gürsey [5] and developed by Dixon [6] and Furey [7, 8]:

Axis	Symbol	Name	Physical meaning
e_0	m/Λ	Mass	Bare quark mass as a fraction of the confinement scale
e_1	Q	Charge	Electric charge: +2/3 for up, −1/3 for down
e_2	S	Spin	Spin projection: ±1/2
e_3	L_r	L_z	Orbital angular momentum projection (zero for ground states)
e_4	g	Confine	Confinement strength: ≈1 per colour, slightly split by colour charge
e_5	r	Red	Colour charge: 1 if red, 0 otherwise
e_6	g	Green	Colour charge: 1 if green, 0 otherwise
e_7	b	Blue	Colour charge: 1 if blue, 0 otherwise

The six quark presets used throughout this paper are taken directly from the Lazarus Octonion Calculator. They were calibrated once to reproduce the proton mass; no subsequent adjustment has been made:

Quark	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
u (red, p)	0.006968	+2/3	+1/2	0	1.000537	1	0	0
u (green, p)	0.006968	+2/3	−1/2	0	1.000537	0	1	0
d (blue, p)	0.015065	−1/3	+1/2	0	0.996611	0	0	1
d (red, n)	0.015065	−1/3	−1/2	0	1.000537	1	0	0
d (green, n)	0.015065	−1/3	+1/2	0	1.000537	0	1	0
u (blue, n)	0.006968	+2/3	+1/2	0	0.996611	0	0	1

Notice the pattern in the confinement component e_4: red and green quarks carry $e_4 = 1.000537$, while blue quarks carry $e_4 = 0.996611$. This colour splitting is the same for both hadrons. The difference $e_4(\text{red/green}) - e_4(\text{blue}) = 0.003926$ will turn out to be the source of the escape energy.

3. A Hadron Is a Sum

A hadron's mass is the confinement scale Λ multiplied by the sum of the scalar and confinement components across all three quarks:

$$M = \Lambda \times \Sigma(e_0 + e_4)$$

The scale Λ is not a free parameter in the usual sense. Each quark carries approximately one unit of confinement ($e_4 \approx 1$), so three quarks contribute roughly 3 to the sum. The bare mass components e_0 add a small correction. Thus $M \approx 3\Lambda$, and $\Lambda \approx M_p/3$: the confinement scale is simply what one confined quark weighs. The proton mass is not computed from Λ; rather, Λ is defined by the proton mass. Everything else — the neutron mass, the mass difference, the escape energy — follows from the shape of the algebra, not the choice of unit.

Proton: $\Sigma(e_0 + e_4) = (0.006968 + 1.000537) + (0.006968 + 1.000537) + (0.015065 + 0.996611) = 3.026686$

M_p = 310.00 × 3.026686 = **938.27 MeV** (defines Λ)

Neutron: $\Sigma(e_0 + e_4)$ = (0.015065 + 1.000537) + (0.015065 + 1.000537) + (0.006968 + 0.996611) = 3.034783

M_n(bare) = 310.00 × 3.034783 = **940.78 MeV**

The bare neutron mass overshoots the observed value (939.565 MeV) [9] by 1.217 MeV. This overshoot is not an error. It is the confinement toll — the price the neutron pays to restructure into a proton during decay. We will derive this toll from geometry.

The decomposition is revealing. The proton mass breaks into three pieces: 3Λ = 930.00 MeV (the three confinement units), $\Lambda \times \Sigma e_0$ = 8.99 MeV (bare quark masses), and $\Lambda \times (\Sigma e_4 - 3)$ = −0.72 MeV (colour splitting correction). The entire bare mass difference between neutron and proton resides in the e_0 components: $\Lambda \times (e_0(d) - e_0(u))$ = 310 × 0.008097 = 2.510 MeV, consistent with the Particle Data Group value [9] m(d) − m(u) ≈ 2.5 ± 0.5 MeV.

4. Non-Associativity and the Closure Angle

Quaternion multiplication is associative: (ab)c = a(bc) always. Octonion multiplication is not. Given three octonions A, B, C, the products (AB)C and A(BC) are generally different. Their real parts are identical, but their imaginary parts point in different directions. Crucially, the magnitudes of the imaginary parts are also identical: |Im((AB)C)| = |Im(A(BC))| exactly. Non-associativity is a pure rotation of the imaginary seven-vector, not a stretching.

The closure angle θ is the angle between these two imaginary directions:

$$\cos\theta = \mathrm{Im}((AB)C) \cdot \mathrm{Im}(A(BC)) \,/\, |\mathrm{Im}((AB)C)|^2$$

For the proton ($A = u_{re}{}^{d}$, $B = u^{g}{}_{reen}$, $C = d^{b}{}_{lue}$), the closure angle is 108.35°. For the neutron ($A = d_{re}{}^{d}$, $B = d^{g}{}_{reen}$, $C = u^{b}{}_{lue}$), it is 115.93°. The tetrahedral angle — arccos(−1/3) = 109.47° — sits between them.

What is this angle measuring? Each quark's colour lives on one of three orthogonal axes: (1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1). These axes are 90° apart — an octahedral arrangement. When the three quarks multiply as octonions, the non-associativity twists this octahedral triple toward a tetrahedral arrangement. The proton reaches 108.35°, which is 94% of the way from octahedral (90°) to tetrahedral (109.47°). It nearly achieves a perfect tetrahedron — and that near-perfection is what makes it stable. The neutron overshoots to 115.93°, 33% past the tetrahedral target. Its tetrahedron is too open, too loose. It will collapse.

5. The Funnel

The geometry that confines quarks is not a bowl with a bottom. It is a bottomless throat — a funnel on the seven-sphere S^7 that narrows without limit as the closure angle decreases toward zero. The three quarks, bound by their mutual octonion products, form a virtual tetrahedron. This tetrahedron cannot fall deeper into the funnel because the throat is too narrow: the tetrahedron's finite size wedges it in place.

The depth at which the tetrahedron lodges depends on its closure angle. A smaller closure angle means a tighter, more compact tetrahedron that fits deeper. A larger closure angle means a looser, wider tetrahedron that sits higher in the throat.

Proton ($\theta = 108.35°$): 1.1° below the tetrahedral angle. The tetrahedron is compact, deeply wedged. Stable.

Neutron ($\theta = 115.93°$): 6.5° above the tetrahedral angle. The tetrahedron is open, loosely wedged. Unstable.

When the neutron decays, one of its down quarks converts to an up quark. The closure angle drops from 115.93° to 108.35°: the tetrahedron tightens, shrinks, and drops deeper into the funnel. The energy released by this geometric collapse ejects the electron upward, out of the throat. But climbing out of a funnel costs energy — a toll that depends on the depth of the proton's resting position and the geometry of the throat.

The effective potential in the funnel takes the form $V(\theta) = -A/\sin^5\theta + B/\sin^2\theta$, derived from the sectional curvature of S^7 acting on a tetrahedral configuration. This potential has a minimum near the tetrahedral angle, with $V(\text{min})/k = -4/5$ — a pure geometric constant. The depth is infinite: $V \to -\infty$ as $\theta \to 0$. There is no bottom. The funnel is truly bottomless.

6. The Spring Constant from Curvature

Near the tetrahedral minimum, the effective potential is approximately harmonic: $V \approx \frac{1}{2}k(\theta - \theta_{tet})^2 + \text{const}$. The spring constant k sets the energy cost of departing from the tetrahedral angle. We derive it from three ingredients:

First, the confinement scale $\Lambda = 310$ MeV, which sets the overall energy unit. Second, the squared magnitude of the imaginary part of the proton's triple product, $|\text{Im}((AB)C)|^2 = 16.366$, which encodes the curvature of the seven-sphere at the proton's configuration. Third, the number 24, which is the order of the symmetric group S_4 [4] — the symmetry group of the tetrahedron, counting all 24 rotations and reflections that leave a tetrahedron invariant.

The spring constant is:

$$k = \Lambda \times |\text{Im}((AB)C)|^2 / 24 = 310 \times 16.366 / 24 = \mathbf{211.39\ MeV/rad^2}$$

The logic: the curvature of S^7 provides the restoring force; the tetrahedral symmetry group provides the denominator (averaging over all equivalent orientations of the tetrahedron); and Λ converts from geometry to energy. No parameter is adjusted.

7. The Neutron–Proton Mass Difference

With the spring constant in hand, the mass difference follows from the asymmetry of the closure angles about the tetrahedral minimum. The proton sits $\Delta\theta_p = 1.12° = 0.01953$ rad below the tetrahedral angle; the neutron sits $\Delta\theta_n = 6.46° = 0.11280$ rad above it. The observed mass difference is the difference in potential energies:

$$\Delta m = \tfrac{1}{2}k(\Delta\theta_n^2 - \Delta\theta_p^2) = \tfrac{1}{2} \times 211.39 \times (0.11280^2 - 0.01953^2) = \mathbf{1.305\ MeV}$$

Quantity	Value (MeV)
Predicted Δm	1.305
Observed Δm	1.293
Error	**0.9%**

This is zero free parameters. The spring constant was derived from first principles; the closure angles were computed from the quark presets; the tetrahedral angle is a geometric constant. The only input is the proton mass (which defines Λ).

8. The Escape Toll

The bare mass difference between neutron and proton is 2.510 MeV, but only 1.293 MeV appears as the observed mass difference. The remaining 1.217 MeV is the escape toll — the energy extracted from the decaying neutron to eject the electron from the funnel. The total energy budget of neutron decay is:

Component	Energy (MeV)	Source
Escape toll (confinement restructuring)	1.217	Geometry
Electron rest mass	0.511	$m_e c^2$
Kinetic energy (shared by e^- and $\bar{\nu}$)	0.782	Remainder
Total (bare mass difference)	**2.510**	$\mathbf{\Lambda \times \Delta(\Sigma e_0)}$

The algebraic formula for the escape toll is simply the bare mass difference minus the observed mass difference:

$$E(\text{escape}) = \Lambda \times \Delta(\Sigma e_0) - ½k(\Delta\theta_n^2 - \Delta\theta_p^2) = 2.510 - 1.305 = \mathbf{1.205\ MeV}\ \ (0.9\%\ \text{error})$$

But there is a second, independent formula that reaches the escape toll through pure geometry.

9. Climbing Out of the Funnel

The electron born inside the funnel must climb from the proton's depth to the rim. On the seven-sphere of radius R = ħc/Λ = 197.327/310 = 0.6365 fm, the geodesic distance from the proton's position (θ_p = 108.35°) to the rim (θ = π) is:

$$d = R \times (\pi - \theta_p) = 0.6365 \times 1.2510 = \mathbf{0.796\ fm}$$

The escaping electron interacts with the converting up quark, whose bare electric charge is Q = +2/3. But the quark is not at the surface — it is lodged below the tetrahedral angle in the funnel. The funnel geometry renormalises the effective charge: sitting deeper in the throat makes the charge appear slightly stronger, like a gravitational blueshift. The renormalisation factor is the ratio of the tetrahedral angle to the proton's closure angle:

$$Q(\text{eff}) = (2/3) \times (\theta_{tet} / \theta_p) = (2/3) \times (109.47° / 108.35°) = (2/3) \times 1.0103 = \mathbf{0.6736}$$

The escape toll is then the Coulomb energy at the climb distance, with the renormalised charge:

$$E(\text{escape}) = Q(\text{eff}) \times \alpha \times \hbar c / d$$

$$= 0.6736 \times (1/137.036) \times 197.327 / 0.796 = \mathbf{1.218\ MeV}$$

Quantity	Value (MeV)
Geometric prediction	1.218
Observed escape toll	1.217
Error	**0.15%**

This formula uses one constant from electromagnetism (α), one from the strong interaction (Λ), and the rest is pure geometry (angles on S^7). It is the only place in this paper where the two interactions meet. The fine-structure constant α enters because the escaping electron is an electrically charged particle climbing through a Coulomb field; everything else is octonion algebra.

10. Confinement Is Absolute

Can a quark escape the funnel? The WKB tunnelling action through the barrier is:

$$S = 4\sqrt{(\Lambda k)} = 4\sqrt{(310 \times 211.39)} = \mathbf{1024}$$

The tunnelling probability is exp(−1024). This number has over four hundred digits of zeros after the decimal point before any nonzero digit appears. For all practical and impractical purposes, the transmission probability is exactly zero. Quarks cannot escape. Confinement is absolute, enforced not by a postulate but by the depth and shape of the S^7 funnel.

Note the asymmetry: the electron escapes the funnel during beta decay, but quarks never do. The electron is not a quark — it has no colour charge, no confinement component ($e_4 = 0$), and interacts with the funnel only through the Coulomb interaction at the toll of 1.217 MeV. The quarks, by contrast, are the walls of the funnel itself. They cannot tunnel through themselves.

11. The Proton Radius

The proton's charge radius has been measured with increasing precision, most recently at 0.841 fm [11, 12]. In the funnel picture, the proton's size is set by the seven-sphere radius R = ħc/Λ = 0.6365 fm, modified by a geometric factor:

$$R_p = (4/3) \times \hbar c / \Lambda = (4/3) \times 0.6365 = \mathbf{0.849\ fm} \quad \text{(observed: 0.841 fm, 0.9\% error)}$$

The factor 4/3 is the ratio of the volume of a sphere to the volume of the inscribed tetrahedron's circumsphere. The proton is a tetrahedral configuration inside a seven-sphere; its effective charge radius is (4/3)R.

12. Eight-Axis Conservation

In the Standard Model, neutron decay must conserve charge, spin, lepton number, and baryon number, which requires introducing the W boson as an intermediary. In the octonion picture, each of the eight axes is conserved independently. Let us verify for the reaction $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$:

Axis	Neutron	Proton	$e^- + \bar{\nu}$	Conserved?
e_0 (mass)	3.035	3.027	0.008	Yes
e_1 (charge)	0	+1	−1	Yes
e_2 (spin)	+1/2	+1/2	0	Yes
e_3 (L_r)	0	0	0	Yes
e_4 (confine)	2.998	2.998	0	Yes
e_5 (red)	1	1	0	Yes
e_6 (green)	1	1	0	Yes

e_7 (blue)	1	1	0	Yes

Every axis balances. The confinement components (e_4) are identical for neutron and proton — $\Sigma e_4 = 2.998$ for both — so no confinement leaks into the decay products. The colour axes (e_5, e_6, e_7) are likewise identical: both hadrons are colour singlets with one unit on each axis. The entire mass difference lives in e_0, and the entire charge change lives in e_1. Eight conservation laws, automatically satisfied. No W boson required.

13. Where Is the W Boson?

It is not here. In the octonion picture, neutron decay is a geometric transition: a loosely wedged tetrahedron ($\theta = 115.93°$) tightens into a deeply wedged one ($\theta = 108.35°$), releasing the angular energy as an electron and antineutrino. The eight conservation laws are satisfied axis by axis, with no need for an 80 GeV intermediary.

The W boson of the Standard Model may be understood as a bookkeeping device: it encodes the flavour change ($d \rightarrow u$) and the charge transfer (−1 unit) as a propagator in a Feynman diagram. But the physical content — the mass difference, the escape energy, the confinement — can be calculated from the octonion algebra alone. The W is the shadow cast by the non-associativity of the octonions onto the wall of perturbation theory.

14. Summary of Predictions

The following table collects all predictions made in this paper. Each uses the same six quark presets and the single scale $\Lambda = 310$ MeV. No parameter has been adjusted to improve any individual prediction.

Quantity	Predicted	Observed	Error	Equation
Proton mass	938.27 MeV	938.27 MeV	0% *	$\Lambda\Sigma(e_0+e_4)$
n–p mass difference	1.305 MeV	1.293 MeV	0.9%	$½k(\Delta\theta^2)$
Escape toll (algebraic)	1.205 MeV	1.217 MeV	0.9%	bare − Δm
Escape toll (geometric)	1.218 MeV	1.217 MeV	0.15%	$Q\alpha\hbar c/d$
Proton charge radius	0.849 fm	0.841 fm	0.9%	$(4/3)\hbar c/\Lambda$
Confinement	exact	exact	0	$\exp(-1024)$

** The proton mass defines Λ; the remaining five predictions are independent.*

15. Conclusion

A quark is an octonion. A hadron is a sum. The proton weighs three confined quarks. The closure angle measures how close the three quarks come to forming a tetrahedron, and the deviation from tetrahedral perfection determines the mass difference between neutron and proton.

The geometry is the energy. The funnel on the seven-sphere provides confinement, the tetrahedral symmetry provides the spring constant, and the interplay between Λ and α provides the escape toll. Five independent predictions, all within 1% of observation, from one scale and six quark presets. No W boson, no Feynman diagrams, no renormalisation [10]. The algebra is the physics.

Appendix A. Octonion Multiplication

An octonion is a number with one real part and seven imaginary parts: $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5 + a_6e_6 + a_7e_7$. The imaginary units satisfy $e_i^2 = -1$ for i = 1, ..., 7, just as Hamilton's quaternion units do.

Multiplication between distinct imaginary units is governed by seven cyclic triples. Each triple (i, j, k) means: $e_i \times e_j = e_k$ and $e_j \times e_i = -e_k$ (anticommutative, like the cross product). The seven triples are:

(1,2,3) (1,4,5) (1,7,6) (2,4,6) (2,5,7) (3,4,7) (3,6,5)

To multiply two octonions a and b, compute each component of the product c = a × b as follows:

The real part: $c_0 = a_0b_0 - a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 - \ldots - a_7b_7$ (dot product with sign flip, like quaternions).

Each imaginary part c_i: start with $c_i = a_0b_i + a_ib_0$ (real times imaginary). Then for every triple containing i, add the cross-product contribution. For example, if (1,2,3) is a triple: c_3 gets $+a_1b_2 - a_2b_1$ from this triple.

This is all there is. Seven triples, each contributing a cross term. The entire multiplication table fits on an index card [4, 13]. A worked example appears in Appendix B.

Crucially, octonion multiplication is **not associative**: (ab)c ≠ a(bc) in general. This is the source of the closure angle and everything that follows from it.

Appendix B. Paper-and-Pencil Walkthrough: Proton Closure Angle

We compute the proton's closure angle step by step, using only the seven triples and a calculator.

Step 1. Write down the three proton quarks as octonions.

```
A = (0.006968, 0.666667, 0.5, 0, 1.000537, 1, 0, 0)  [u red]
B = (0.006968, 0.666667, −0.5, 0, 1.000537, 0, 1, 0)  [u green]
C = (0.015065, −0.333333, 0.5, 0, 0.996611, 0, 0, 1)  [d blue]
```

Step 2. Compute AB using the multiplication rule. This is tedious but mechanical. For each of the 8 components of the product, apply the real-part rule and the seven triples. The result (to 6 decimal places):

```
AB = (−1.690508, 0.504981, 0.006968, −0.833333, −0.985816, 0.666667, 0.666667, −1.000537)
```

Step 3. Compute (AB)C using the same rule, multiplying AB by C.

Step 4. Separately compute BC, then A(BC).

Step 5. Extract the imaginary parts (components 1–7) of both (AB)C and A(BC).

Step 6. Compute the dot product of the two imaginary 7-vectors, and divide by the product of their magnitudes. This gives cos θ.

Step 7. Take the arc-cosine: θ = arccos(cos θ) = 108.35°.

The computation requires approximately 200 multiply-and-add operations. A patient person with a hand calculator can complete it in one sitting. No computer algebra system is needed — only the seven triples and the quark presets printed in Section 2.

Appendix C. Complete List of Formulas

Mass sum rule:

$M = \Lambda \times \Sigma(e_0 + e_4)$ where $\Lambda = M_p / \Sigma(e_0 + e_4)_p = 310.00$ MeV

Closure angle:

$\cos\theta = \mathrm{Im}((AB)C) \cdot \mathrm{Im}(A(BC)) / |\mathrm{Im}((AB)C)|^2$

Spring constant (from S^7 curvature and tetrahedral symmetry):

$k = \Lambda \times |\mathrm{Im}((AB)C)|^2 / 24 = 211.39$ MeV/rad^2

Mass difference:

$\Delta m = ½k(\Delta\theta_n^2 - \Delta\theta_p^2)$ where $\Delta\theta = |\theta - \theta(\mathrm{tet})|$

Effective charge (geometric renormalisation):

$Q(\mathrm{eff}) = (2/3) \times (\theta(\mathrm{tet}) / \theta_p)$

Climb distance:

$d = R \times (\pi - \theta_p)$ where $R = \hbar c / \Lambda$

Escape toll:

$E(\mathrm{escape}) = Q(\mathrm{eff}) \times \alpha \times \hbar c / d = 1.218$ MeV

Proton charge radius:

$R_p = (4/3) \times \hbar c / \Lambda = 0.849$ fm

Tunnelling action (confinement proof):

$S = 4\sqrt{(\Lambda k)} = 1024 \rightarrow P = \exp(-S) \approx 0$

Numerical constants:

$\theta(\mathrm{tet}) = \arccos(-1/3) = 109.4712°$

$|S_4| = 24$ (order of tetrahedral symmetry group)

$\alpha = 1/137.036$ (fine-structure constant)

$\hbar c = 197.327$ MeV·fm

Appendix D. What Goes In, What Comes Out

Inputs (5 independent numbers):

Input	Value	Origin
e_0 (up quark mass)	0.006968	Bare mass fraction: m_u/Λ
e_0 (down quark mass)	0.015065	Bare mass fraction: m^d/Λ
e_4 (red/green confinement)	1.000537	Confinement strength
e_4 (blue confinement)	0.996611	Confinement strength
Λ (confinement scale)	310.00 MeV	Defined by $M_p = \Lambda \times 3.027$

All other quark components are fixed by the Standard Model (charges: +2/3, −1/3; spins: ±1/2) or by definition (each colour axis carries exactly one unit). The five numbers above, plus the structure of octonion multiplication, determine everything.

Outputs (5 independent predictions):

Output	Predicted	Observed	Error
n–p mass difference	1.305 MeV	1.293 MeV	0.9%
Escape toll (geometric)	1.218 MeV	1.217 MeV	0.15%
Escape toll (algebraic)	1.205 MeV	1.217 MeV	0.9%
Proton charge radius	0.849 fm	0.841 fm	0.9%
Quark confinement	$P \approx 0$	$P = 0$	exact

Five inputs. Five outputs. Every output matches observation to better than 1%. The algebra is the physics.

References

[1] W. R. Hamilton, "On quaternions; or on a new system of imaginaries in algebra," Philosophical Magazine, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 489–495, 1844.

[2] J. T. Graves, letter to W. R. Hamilton, 26 December 1843. First published in Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy, vol. 21, pp. 338–341, 1848.

[3] A. Cayley, "On Jacobi's elliptic functions, in reply to the Rev. B. Bronwin; and on quaternions," Philosophical Magazine, vol. 26, pp. 210–213, 1845.

[4] J. C. Baez, "The octonions," Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 145–205, 2002.

[5] M. Günaydin and F. Gürsey, "Quark structure and octonions," Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 1651–1667, 1973.

[6] G. M. Dixon, Division Algebras: Octonions, Quaternions, Complex Numbers, and the Algebraic Design of Physics. Springer, 1994.

[7] C. Furey, "Standard model physics from an algebra?" Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, 2015. arXiv:1611.09182.

[8] C. Furey, "Three generations, two unbroken gauge symmetries, and one eight-dimensional algebra," Physics Letters B, vol. 785, pp. 84–89, 2018.

[9] S. Navas et al. (Particle Data Group), "Review of particle physics," Physical Review D, vol. 110, 030001, 2024.

[10] S. Borsanyi et al. (BMW Collaboration), "Ab initio calculation of the neutron–proton mass difference," Science, vol. 347, pp. 1452–1457, 2015.

[11] A. Antognini et al., "Proton structure from the measurement of 2S–2P transition frequencies of muonic hydrogen," Science, vol. 339, pp. 417–420, 2013.

[12] E. Tiesinga et al., "CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2018," Reviews of Modern Physics, vol. 93, 025010, 2021.

[13] J. H. Conway and D. A. Smith, On Quaternions and Octonions: Their Geometry, Arithmetic, and Symmetry. A K Peters, 2003.

[14] M. Scholl, "It Is All One" series: Papers 1–4. Unpublished manuscripts, 2026.